

In re: MYATT
10/690,825
June 7, 2007

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

Claims 1-34 are pending in this application with claims 1-31 being allowed.

Claims 5 and 5 stand objected to.

Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection. Claim 5 was amended in the previous amendment to depend from claim 3 and not claim 4. Because of the single line strikeout used, it might not have appeared that the "4" was being deleted, but it was. Claim 5 depends from claim 3 and not claim 34. In view of this, it is respectfully requested that the objection to claims 5 and 6 be withdrawn


Claims 32-34 stand rejected under 35 USC § 112. The Examiner states that it is unclear whether the "remote product identifiers" in claim 32, line 22 are the same as the remote product identifier recited on line 10. They are.

Claim 32 has been slightly amended to clarify that the remote product identifier(s) is/are the same throughout the claim. Whereas the first part of claim 32 only requires a single remote product identifier (as in claim 30), the last two lines of claim 32 add the requirement for a plurality of that remote product identifier. In view of this, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 32-34 be withdrawn.

In view of the above, it is believed that the application is in condition for allowance and such a Notice is respectfully requested. If anything else is needed to place the application in condition for allowance, it is kindly requested that the undersigned be contacted.

In re: MYATT
10/690,825
June 7, 2007

Respectfully submitted,



Timothy J. Klima, Reg. No.: 34,852

Harbin King & Klima
500 Ninth Street SE
Washington, DC 20003
Ph: 202-543-6404
Fax: 202-543-6406